

Rebuttal To Argument In Favor Of Measure KK

The Belmont Transient Occupancy Tax should not be raised; it should be abolished!

What kind of people would tax a guest? Tax the visitor? Tax the traveler? Don't tax the rich; tax the homeless family in a motel? Don't tax the mansion; tax your neighbor who has to rent a bedroom? Really?

Yes, the Transient Occupancy Tax taxes her for renting space in her own home! The Argument in Favor falsely claims that Belmont residents do not pay this tax. Be honest! The Impartial Analysis explains it; not only does a resident renting a room pay this tax, Measure KK actually increases what she pays through AirB&B. The Resolution brags about "making AirB&b users pay their fair share". So what on Earth is the government's "fair share" of letting somebody sleep on her couch?

Sure, the rate has not changed since 1978. Of course not. It is a percentage! The government's take has already gone way up as inflation increased the price of a room. The City does not deserve a bigger share; they are getting more, and more, already.

Politicians think we will vote to tax anybody but ourselves. They think we will make somebody else pay. They think we are so selfish we cannot see through their scheme.

The Belmont Transient Occupancy Tax should not be raised; it should be abolished!

What on Earth is the government's fair share of somebody sleeping on your couch?

What kind of people tax their guests?

The Belmont Transient Occupancy Tax is wrong. You can stop it.

Vote No on Measure KK

Andrea Campton
Belmont Voter

Chris Yonts
Belmont Voter

Jon Freeman
Belmont Voter

Harland Harrison
Chair, Libertarian Party of San Mateo County CA